IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT:-

THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR.H.L.DATTU
&
THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE K.T.SANKARAN

MONDAY, THE 30TH JULY 2007 / 8TH SRAVANA 1929

Cont.Case(C).No.190 of 2007(S)

(ARISING FROM THE JUDGMENT IN O.P.NO.9657 OF 1996 DATED 30.10.2003 & W.P.(C).NO.17461 OF 2004 DATED 23.02.2006)

.....

PETITIONERS/PETITIONERS IN O.P.9657/96 & W.P.(C).17461/04:-

---

1. K.P.PARAMESWARA PANICKER,
   S/O.EASWARA PILLAI, AGED 74, LINEMAN (RETIRED)
   ELECTRICAL MAJOR SECTION, KOTTAYAM,
   RESIDING AT KURATHIYAT HOUSE, SHM P.O.,
   NATTASSERY, KOTTAYAM.

2. T.K.ARAVINDAKSHA PANICKER,
   S/O.KRISHNA MENON, AGED 74, LINE ASSISTANT (RETIRED),
   ELECTRICAL MAJOR SECTION,
   AYARKUNNAM RESIDING AT MALIMAL HOUSE,
   SHM P.O., NATTASSERY, KOTTAYAM.

BY ADV. SRI.K.A.ABRAHAM

RESPONDENTS:-R1 - R1 IN O.P.9657/96 & R1 & R2 - R1 & 3 IN WP(C).17461/04:-

---

1. SRI.C.U.MATHAI, S/O.NOT KNOWN,
   AGED NOT KNOWN, THE SECRETARY,
   K.S.E.B., VYDYUTHI BHAVAN, TRIVANDRUM.

2. SRI.MOHAN VARGHESE, S/O.NOT KNOWN,
   AGED NOT KNOWN EXECUTIVE ENGINEER,
   ELECTRICAL DIVISION, K.S.E.B, PALLOM, KOTTAYAM.

BY STANDING COUNSEL SRI.N.NSUGUNAPALAN (SR.)
SRI.K.S.ANIL, SC, KSEB

THIS CONTEMPT CASE (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 30/07/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:-

H.L.Dattu,C.J. & K.T.Sankaran,J.

Cont. Case (C).No.190 of 2007-S

Dated, this the 30th day of July, 2007

JUDGMENT

H.L.Dattu,C.J.

The petitioners in O.P.No.9657 of 1996 and W.P.(C).No.17461 of 2004 are the complainants in this contempt petition. It is their grievance in the petition that the respondents have not complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court while disposing the aforesaid writ petitions.

(2) While entertaining this contempt petition, we have ordered issuance of notice on the respondents.

(3) The respondents have entered appearance through Sri.N.N.Sugunapalan. Smt.T.N.Girija, learned counsel representing the counsel appearing for the respondents on instructions would submit that the respondents have passed orders dated 26.07.2007 and by that they have substantially complied with the orders and directions issued by this Court.

(4) We do not doubt the correctness of the statement made by the learned counsel appearing for the respondent. Therefore, accepting the submissions made by the learned counsel, this contempt petition is disposed of, since there is substantial compliance of the orders and directions issued by this Court.

(5) However, liberty is reserved for the petitioners, if they so desire, to question the correctness or otherwise of the orders passed by the respondents before an appropriate forum in appropriate proceedings.

Ordered accordingly.

H.L.Dattu
Chief Justice

K.T.Sankaran
Judge

vku/-